

KINGS LAW REPORTS

(ALL SC/NOVEL CA)

(2006) KLR VOL 4 PART 214 pp. 1041 - 1214

APRIL 2006

Dedicated to the King of kings

O. O. NOEL ESQ. Chief Editor

INDEX OF CASES REPORTED

1. Ifeta v. Shell Petroleum Development Co. of Nigeria Ltd p. 1041
2. Okomalu v. Akinbode p. 1075
3. Olori Motors and Co. Ltd v. Union Bank of Nigeria Plc p. 1103
4. West Construction Co. Ltd v. Batalha p. 1141

COURT OF APPEAL

1. Nanna v. Nanna p. 1169 CA

ii INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2006) 4 KLR

ACTIONS - Claim - Illegality - Before a claim can be ex-facie illegal - It will be apparent that it is illegal - And in breach of a specific law (H2) West Const. Co. Ltd v. Batalha p. 1141

APPEALS - Retrial - Basis for the order - Specific finding - Should be made by trial court on every relevant issue - Where it fails to do so - And the finding depends on witnesses' credibility - Appellate court should Order a retrial (H4) Okomalu v. Akinbode p. 1075

APPEALS - Interference - Findings of trial court - Are to be disturbed - Where not supported by evidence - And will lead to a miscarriage of justice (H5) Ifeta v. Shell Petroleum p. 1041

APPEALS - Interlocutory appeal - Life of - Where the substantive suit or appeal - Has been determined - The interlocutory appeal becomes lifeless and spent (H1) Olori Motors Ltd v. Union Bank p. 1103

APPEALS - Jurisdiction - Current problem - That is yet to be resolved - Is what Supreme Court has jurisdiction to determine - Appeal that has become spent - Ought to be withdrawn - Or struck out (H2) Olori Motors Ltd v. Union Bank p. 1103

CHIEFTAINCY MATTERS - Statutes - Chiefs Law s. 22(5) - Local remedies - Are to be exhausted before litigation - For court to have jurisdiction (H3) Okomalu v. Akinbode p. 1075

CONTRACTS - Illegality - Ex-facie - Definition - Claim for outstanding salary - Is ex-facie legal and enforceable (H1) West Const. Co. Ltd v. Batalha p. 1141

CONTRACTS - Sanctity of - Contract of service - Termination terms - Is as stated in clause 11 of Exhibit G - Which said terms were breached by the respondent (H1) Ifeta v. Shell Petroleum p. 1041

COURTS - Chieftaincy Matters - Declaratory Relief - Jurisdiction of High Court - Extends to the granting of declaratory reliefs in chieftaincy matters (H1) Okomalu v. Akinbode p. 1075

CUSTOMARY LAW - Chiefs - Selection of - Jurisdiction - Court has no jurisdiction to make declarations - Of customary law relating to selection of chiefs - Though it can make a finding on what law is applicable - And apply it in a declaratory relief (H2) Okomalu v. Akinbode p. 1075

IMMIGRATION - Pleadings - Employment - Foreign currency - Averment that salary of expatriates in foreign currency is forbidden - That had no evidence in its support - Is deemed abandoned (H4) West Const. Co. Ltd v. Batalha p. 1141

IMMIGRATION - Resident permit - Employment - Pleadings - Immigration Acts s. 10(5) - Provided for deportation not nullification of employment contract (H5) West Const. Co. Ltd v. Batalha p. 1141

MASTER & SERVANT - Pleadings - Immigration Act ss. 8(1) & 34 - Where a breach of the sections was not pleaded - Evidence given directly - Or extracted under cross examination - Would be inadmissible (H6) West Const. Co. Ltd v. Batalha p. 1141

MASTER & SERVANT - Termination - That is in breach of contract - Will not usually attract specific performance - Or reinstatement - Save in special circumstances (H2) Ifeta v. Shell Petroleum p. 1041

MASTER & SERVANT - Wrongful termination - Damages - Principles of assessment - Is to award salary in lieu of notice - Not servant's entitlement till year of retirement (H4) Ifeta v. Shell Petroleum p. 1041

MASTER & SERVANT - Wrongful termination - Though established in this case - No special circumstance such as statutory flavour exists - To

warrant order of specific performance or reinstatement (H3) Ifeta v. Shell Petroleum p. 1041

PLEADINGS - Illegality - Contracts - Where not ex-facie illegal - Party that raises defence of illegality - Must plead it and set out the particulars (H3) West Const. Co. Ltd v. Batalha p. 1141

COURT OF APPEAL

JUDGMENTS - Perverseness - Matrimonial causes - Where trial court properly evaluated the evidence - Before finding in respondent's favour - The judgment is not perverse (H11) Nanna v. Nanna p. 1169 CA

MATRIMONIAL CAUSES - Custody of children - Welfare of the children - Is of paramount consideration - Cosmetic arrangement for the children - Will not entitle a party to have custody (H4) Nanna v. Nanna p. 1169 CA

MATRIMONIAL CAUSES - Access to children - Was properly granted to appellant - Instead of joint custody with the respondent - And trial court's general finding - Did not affect the decision (H5) Nanna v. Nanna p. 1169 CA

MATRIMONIAL CAUSES - Cruelty - Proof - Where respondent's evidence were not discredited - Trial court rightly relied on them - To find matrimonial cruelty (H9) Nanna v. Nanna p. 1169 CA

MATRIMONIAL CAUSES - Desertion - Respondent's abandonment of the matrimonial home - Where caused by appellant's conduct - It is appellant that is in constructive desertion (H10) Nanna v. Nanna p. 1169 CA

MATRIMONIAL CAUSES - Dissolution of marriage - Facts that must be

proved under s. 15(2)(a)-(h) of the Act - Where not proved - Dissolution will not be granted - Notwithstanding that both parties desire divorce (H1) Nanna v. Nanna p. 1169 CA

MATRIMONIAL CAUSES - Divorce - Basis - Behaviour petitioner cannot reasonably live with s. 15(2)(c) - Is objective as itemized in s. 16(1)(a)-(g) of the Act - And appellant failed to establish any of the items (H2) Nanna v. Nanna p. 1169 CA

MATRIMONIAL CAUSES - Divorce - Cruelty - Definition - Though not set out - In grounds of divorce - Under the Act - It can ground a finding of irretrievable breakdown of the marriage (H8) Nanna v. Nanna p. 1169 CA

MATRIMONIAL CAUSES - Divorce - Desertion - Meaning - Irretrievable marriage breakdown - Living apart for continuous period of two years per se - Will not ground divorce (H3) Nanna v. Nanna p. 1169 CA

MATRIMONIAL CAUSES - Maintenance - Assessment - Duty of the man - Right of wife and children - Is not contractual - Nor likened to special damages claim - Appellate court will reduce excessive award (H7) Nanna v. Nanna p. 1169 CA

MATRIMONIAL CAUSES - Maintenance order - Factors to be considered by court - Include means and earning capacity - Without giving room to speculation (H6) Nanna v. Nanna p. 1169 CA

INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES

Chiefs Law, Cap 21, Laws of Oyo State of Nigeria, 1978; ss. 21 and 22(5)
Okomalu v. Akinbode p. 1075

Constitution of Nigeria, 1979; s. 236 Okomalu v. Akinbode p. 1075

Constitution of Nigeria, 1999; s. 6(6)(a) and (b) Olori Motors Ltd v. Union
Bank p. 1103

Court of Appeal Act, 1976; s. 16 Okomalu v. Akinbode p. 1075

Evidence Act, Cap. 112, Laws of the Federation of Nigeria 1990, s. 136
Nanna v. Nanna p. 1169 CA

Immigration Act, 1990 ss. 8, 34 and 48 West Const. Co. Ltd v. Batalha p.
1141

Matrimonial Causes Act, 1970 Cap. 220, Laws of the Federation of
Nigeria, 1990 ss. 15(2)(a)-(h), 16(1)(a)-(g), 18, 70 & 71 Nanna v. Nanna
p. 1169 CA

Supreme Court Act; s. 22 Okomalu v. Akinbode p. 1075

Supreme Court Rules, O. 8 r. 13(1) Okomalu v. Akinbode p. 1075